Chairman Curtis called the meeting to order at 5:35pm.

1. Public Comments
   None

2. Architect Informal interviews
   - TSKP - 5:30pm
     Curtis Chairman asked team members to introduce themselves to the committee. As an overview, some members stated that they were located close by and had a strong focus in education. Building Committee members asked about prior responsibilities in school, thoughts about the project- what were the challenges or pros of the site. Team members stated they have walked the site and challenges so far is on site septic and budget, they also stated there could be setbacks due to the wetlands but felt the site was a good choice for development in Madison.
   - Tecton - 6:00pm
     After brief introductions, team members stated they understood the image of Madison and wanted to further reflect that. As for challenges, the idea of escalation was brought up and how volatile the current market is. The team emphasized that decisions had to be made early to see potential cost savings. Chairman Curtis asked the team to speak towards energy efficiency which they elaborated on.
   - Svigals - 6:30pm
     Team members spoke on prior elementary projects completed by their firm. Chairman Curtis asked team members about developing a high-performance building as that is a concern of the commission. Team members responded and stated that sustainability and energy was discussed in their group – they gave some insights on the project. From the building committee members, the group was asked about maintenance and operation and how that will be managed.
   - SLAM - 7:00pm
     After introductions, committee members asked about challenges for the site. Team members agreed the site is beautiful but propose challenges as wetlands, they further stated
the site would be great for outdoor spaces and the current market is a major concern with procurement. The green team at SLAM has over 80 projects certified, most are gold and 3 are platinum, the team briefly discussed a NetZero building and stated if that is desired, it will need to be known early on as that will affect the design and layout of the building.

3. **Approval of May 9, 2022 minutes**
   Building Committee member George Noewatne made the motion to approve the May 9, 2022 minutes as submitted. Seconded by Building Committee member Bob Blundon and unanimously approved.

4. **Public Comments**
   None

5. **Remarks**
   Building Committee member Diana Colcord asked about the RFP and whether the Town will use a General Contractor or Construction Manager. The RFP and upcoming schedule were reviewed and discussed.

6. **Adjournment**
   Chairman Curtis made the motion to adjourn at 8:15pm and it was unanimously approved.

Respectfully submitted,
Racquel Stubbs